RESEARCH



Test of Specificity in Signalling between Potato Plants in Response to Infection by *Fusarium Solani* and *Phytophthora Infestans*

 $Lucía Martín-Cacheda^1 \cdot Gregory \, R\"oder^2 \cdot Luis \, Abdala-Roberts^3 \cdot Xoaquín \, Moreira^1$

Received: 3 January 2024 / Revised: 29 April 2024 / Accepted: 9 June 2024 © The Author(s) 2024

Abstract

Plant-plant signalling via volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in response to insect herbivory has been widely studied, but its occurrence and specificity in response to pathogen attack has received much less attention. To fill this gap, we carried out a greenhouse experiment using two fungal pathogens (Fusarium solani and Phytophthora infestans) to test for specificity in VOC induction and signalling between potato plants (Solanum tuberosum). We paired potato plants in plastic cages, one acting as VOC emitter and the other as receiver, and subjected emitters to one of the following treatments: no infection (control), infected by F. solani, or infected by P. infestans. We measured total emission and composition of VOCs released by emitter plants to test for pathogen-specificity in VOC induction, and then conducted a pathogen infection bioassay to assess resistance levels on receiver plants by subjecting half of the receivers of each emitter treatment to F. solani infection and the other half to P. infestans infection. This allowed us to test for specificity in plant VOC signalling by comparing its effects on conspecific and heterospecific sequential infections. Results showed that infection by neither F. solani or P. infestans produced quantitative (total emissions) or qualitative (compositional) changes in VOC emissions. Mirroring these patterns, emitter infection treatment (control vs. pathogen infection) did not produce a significant change in pathogen infection levels on receiver plants in any case (i.e., either for conspecific or heterospecific sequential infections), indicating a lack of signalling effects which precluded pathogen-based specificity in signalling. We discuss possible mechanisms for lack of pathogen effects on VOC emissions and call for future work testing for pathogen specificity in plant-plant signalling and its implications for plant-pathogen interactions under ecologically relevant scenarios involving infections by multiple pathogens.

Keywords Specificity · Plant-plant Signalling · Plant-pathogen Interaction · *Fusarium Solani* · *Phytophthora infestans* · *Solanum tuberosum* · Volatile Organic Compounds

Luis Abdala-Roberts and Xoaquín Moreira contributed these authors joint the senior authorship.

Lucía Martín-Cacheda luciamartincacheda.93@gmail.com

- Xoaquín Moreira xmoreira1@gmail.com
- ¹ Misión Biológica de Galicia (MBG-CSIC), Apartado de correos 28, Pontevedra, Galicia 36080, Spain
- ² Institute of Biology, University of Neuchâtel, Rue Emile-Argand 11, Neuchâtel 2000, Switzerland
- ³ Departamento de Ecología Tropical, Campus de Ciencias Biológicas y Agropecuarias, Universidad Autónoma de Yucatán, Apartado Postal 4-116,, Yucatán Itzimná 97000. Mérida, México

Introduction

Plants respond to complex blends of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) emitted by conspecific and heterospecific neighbouring plants (Karban and Karban 2010; Karban et al. 2014). There is mounting evidence that exposure to VOCs from attacked "emitter" plants alerts nearby "receiver" plants, which in turn prime (i.e., prepare) or induce their defences thereby increasing resistance to future attacks (Heil and Karban 2010; Vlot et al. 2021). This form of plant-plant signalling via VOCs has been documented in over 40 species, including several agricultural crops and tree species used in forestry (Heil and Karban 2010; Karban et al. 2014), and in many cases appears to be specific to herbivore- or plant-related factors (Kessler et al. 2023; Moreira and Abdala-Roberts 2019). Such specificity could have key ecological consequences for plant-induced defences and plant-associated interactions, and identifying its causes or drivers can shed light into evolutionary processes shaping plant VOC emissions and the ecological mechanisms underlying plant-plant signalling.

Pathogens are responsible for many types of plant diseases which detrimentally affect plants in both natural and managed ecosystems, and in the latter case represent a major cause of crop loses worldwide (Biere and Goverse 2016; Naz et al. 2022; Strange and Scott 2005). Compared to insect herbivores, less studies have investigated the effects of pathogens on VOC-mediated plant-plant signalling (reviewed by Hammerbacher et al. 2019). As for insects, there is evidence from several systems that VOCs emitted by pathogen-infected plants modulate defensive responses and resulting resistance in neighbouring plants (Quintana-Rodriguez et al. 2015; Riedlmeier et al. 2017; Shulaev et al. 1997; Yi et al. 2009). For example, VOCs emitted by tobacco plants infected with tobacco mosaic virus (Shulaev et al. 1997) and lima bean plants infected with Pseudomonas syringae (Yi et al. 2009) enhance defences of neighbouring conspecific plants. However, in other systems, responses appear to be highly variable and, in some cases, no evidence of signalling effects has been found. For example, a recent study found that infection by pathogen Sclerotinia sclerotiorum did not affect the total amount or composition of VOCs produced by emitter potato plants, which in turn precluded signalling effects on defences and resistance of neighbouring plants (Moreira et al. 2021). Interestingly, S. sclerotiorum infection caused a downregulation of several genes coding for VOC precursors, suggesting that this pathogen suppressed volatile induction, presumably preventing plant-plant signalling (Moreira et al. 2021). Collectively, the observed variability within and among studies suggests plant- and/or pathogen-specificity, but its underlying causes or mechanisms remain poorly understood (Hammerbacher et al. 2019). Further work in other systems is needed for a stronger basis of comparison and generalization, combined with a more detailed and explicit investigation of pathogenand plant-related factors to unveil the mechanisms underlying variability in interaction outcomes.

Plant induced responses to pathogen attack, including those involving VOCs, can change when plants are under attack by multiple pathogens (Brouwer et al. 2023; Ponzio et al. 2013), potentially leading to different interaction outcomes and contrasting predictions on the specificity, strength, and even direction of plant-plant signalling effects. For example, it has been shown that sequential attacks lead to plant-mediated indirect interactions where early-arriving attackers positively or negatively affect subsequent attackers (Biru et al. 2022; Moreira et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2014). Interaction outcomes are often contingent on the feeding

guild or lifestyle of pathogens under scenarios of sequential infection, whereby early attackers associated with the same plant defensive metabolic pathway have a negative effect on subsequent attacker of the same guild (i.e., plant induced resistance to latter), but a positive effect when the subsequent attacker is of a different guild (i.e., induced susceptibility due to interference or so-called crosstalk between pathways) (Erb 2018; Mithöfer and Boland 2008; Pieterse et al. 2009; Thaler et al. 2012). Although effects of sequential pathogen infections on non-volatile plant defences have been well studied (e.g., Spoel et al. 2007; Vos et al. 2015; Quiroga et al. 2023), the consequences of these multiple infections on plant-plant signalling are virtually ignored (a gap that also applies to herbivory research; for case of induction of plant VOC by an early herbivore affecting a subsequent herbivore see: Davidson-Lowe and Ali 2021). That said, studies have reported specificity in pathogen effects on VOC induction and in the effects of these pathogen-induced volatiles on plant defences and resistance on the infected plant (reviewed by Sharifi et al. 2018), possibly setting the stage for specificity in plant-plant VOC signalling. However, to our knowledge there have been no studies testing for VOC-mediated plant-plant signalling in response to attack by multiple pathogens, particularly under scenarios of sequential infections which are a common in natural and managed systems.

Potato (Solanum tuberosum L., Solanaceae) is one of the most important crops worldwide, with an annual production of more than 359 million tonnes (FAOSTAT 2020). Over the last decades, threat from pests and diseases on this crop have dramatically increased as a consequence of agricultural intensification, along with the detrimental effects of climate change (Alyokhin et al. 2013). In particular, potato plants are susceptible to a wide variety of diseases that can critically decrease yield, as well as the quality and storability of tubers (Landschoot et al. 2017; Singh et al. 2015). Amongst these, late blight caused by Phytophthora infestans and potato wilt caused by Fusarium solani are two economically important potato diseases worldwide (Alor et al. 2019; Mehmood et al. 2023; Olivieri et al. 2009). Phytophthora infestans is a generalist hemibiotrophic oomycete that produces brown or black spots on the surface of potato leaves and stems and elicits the salicylic acid pathway (Zuluaga et al. 2016). Fusarium solani, on the other hand, is a generalist necrotrophic fungus that acts as an important causal agent of wilt in potato plant foliage in the field and potato tuber dry rot during storage (Azil et al. 2021; Moctezuma-Zárate et al. 2013) and elicits the jasmonic acid pathway (Wen-Zhong et al. 2021).

In this study, we carried out a greenhouse experiment with these two fungal pathogens (*F. solani* and *P. infestans*) to test for specificity in VOC induction and plant-plant signalling effects on potato pathogen resistance involving sequential conspecific or heterospecific (i.e., crossed) infections. To this end, we paired potato plants in plastic cages, one acting as VOC emitter and the other as a receiver, and subjected emitters to one of the following treatments: no infection (control), infection by F. solani, or infection by P. infestans. We measured total emission and composition of VOCs released by emitters belonging to each group to test for pathogen-specificity in VOC induction, and then conducted a pathogen resistance bioassay on receivers by subjecting half of the receiver plants of each emitter treatment to F. solani infection and the other half to P. infestans infection. This allowed us to test for pathogen-related specificity in plant VOC-mediated signalling and compare different scenarios of sequential infections, namely conspecific and heterospecific infections for each pathogen. Because the studied pathogens elicit different plant defensive pathways, we expected them to induce different VOC amounts and/or emission profiles, and that this would lead to specificity in signalling effects on plant resistance to subsequent infection. Specifically, we predicted that VOC emissions induced by each pathogen would increase resistance of receiver plants against subsequent infection by the same pathogen and reduce receiver resistance against the other subsequent pathogen (i.e., cross-talk hypothesis expanded to VOCmediated signalling). Alternatively, based on our previous findings with pathogens infecting potato plants (Moreira et al. 2021), it is possible that the pathogens suppress VOC induction, precluding or dampening VOC-mediated signalling effects on plant resistance against subsequent (both conspecific and heterospecific) pathogens. Overall, this study provides a more nuanced understanding of pathogen specificity in VOC-mediated plant-plant signalling and its ecological consequences under realistic scenarios involving multiple pathogen infections.

Materials and Methods

Experimental Design

In May 2023, we individually planted tubers of three potato varieties (*S. tuberosum* L. cultivar Baraka, Desiree, and Monalisa) in 4-L pots containing potting soil with peat (Gramoflor GmbH & Co. KG Produktion, Vechta, Germany) in a glasshouse under controlled light (10 h per day, Photosynthetically Active Radiation= $725 \pm 19 \mu$ mol m⁻² s⁻¹) and temperature (10 °C night, 25 °C day), and were watered twice a week. Before planting, tubers were scrubbed with commercial detergent and running tap-water, and then were surface sterilized with sodium hypochlorite solution (5% available C1⁻) for three minutes and rinsed three times in

sterile distilled water to prevent the possible presence of pathogenic microorganisms acquired during storage. Three weeks after planting, we grouped S. tuberosum plants of similar size in pairs and placed them in $37.5 \times 37.5 \times 96.5$ cm plastic cages. These cages had two frontal holes covered with a mesh allowing vital airflow. One plant of each pair acted as emitter and the other as receiver (emitter height: 27.3 ± 0.57 cm, receiver height: 22.2 ± 0.58 cm). Within each cage, plants were placed 20 cm apart to avoid physical contact. Adjacent cages were spaced by 2 m to prevent cross-signalling between adjacent replicates (Freundlich et al. 2021). We randomly assigned emitter plants to one of the following: (1) control (intact plants), (2) F. solani infection or (3) P. infestans infection (Fig. 1). In total, there were 72 cages (24 per treatment) for a total of 72 receiver and 72 emitter plants. For the pathogen infection treatments, we applied three punctures on the upper side of two fully developed leaves using an awl of 1 mm of diameter, and then added agar plugs (0.4 cm in diameter) containing F. solani or P. infestans mycelia on the punctured site (Moreira et al. 2021). In the case of control plants, we punctured leaves as above and added the agar plugs without pathogen to account for any effects caused by such manipulations. For plants of all experimental groups, we sprayed leaves with sterile distilled water and covered them with transparent plastic bags $(10 \times 15 \text{ cm}, \text{ polyethylene}, \text{K-util})$ during 48 h to maintain the humidity and promote pathogen infection in treated plants.

Collection of VOCs Released by Emitter Plants

One week after pathogen inoculation, we removed all emitter plants from cages and collected aboveground VOCs from all the emitters following Rasmann et al. (2011). Briefly, we bagged plants with a 2 L Nalophan bag, and trapped VOCs on a charcoal filter (SKC sorbent tube filled with Anasorb CSC coconut-shell charcoal) for two hours using a Sidekick 224-52MTX pump (0.25 L min⁻¹ airflow of technical air N_2O_2). We eluted traps with 150 µL dichloromethane (CAS#75-09-2, Merck, Dietikon, Switzerland) to which we had previously added one internal standard (naphthalene CAS#91-20-3, 200 ng in 10 µL dichloromethane). We then injected 1.5 µL of the extract for each sample into an Agilent 7890B gas chromatograph (GC) coupled with a 5977B mass selective detector fitted with a 30 m \times 0.25 mm \times 0.25 μ m film thickness HP-5MS fused silica column (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA). We operated the injection into the GC in pulsed splitless mode (250 °C, injection pressure 15 psi) with helium as the carrier gas. The GC oven temperature program was: 3.5 min hold at 40 °C, 5 °C min⁻¹ ramp to 230 °C, then a 3 min hold at 250 °C post run (constant helium flow rate 0.9 mL min⁻¹). The transfer line was set at 280 °C.

Fig. 1 Experimental design used to test for effects of the emitter pathogen infection treatment on plant-plant signalling in potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) plants. We grouped plants in pairs (i.e., one emitter and one receiver), where emitter plants were subjected to one of the following treatments: (**a**) uninfected control, (**b**) infected by *Fusarium solani* and (**c**) infected by *Phytophthora infestans* (N=24)



In the MS detector (EI mode), a 33–350 (m/z) mass scan range was used with MS source and quadrupole set at 230 °C and 150 °C, respectively. We identified volatile compounds using either commercial pure standards or mass spectrum comparisons with NIST library. In this latter case, Kováts indices calculated relative to the retention times of a series of n-alkanes (C8-C20, Sigma-Aldrich, Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) analysed under the same chromatographic conditions, were compared with those reported in the literature aiming to improve identification process. Although our Kováts indices matched well with those previously reported, several VOCs should be considered as 'putative' until confirmation with standards. We quantified total emission of individual VOCs using normalized peak areas and expressed it as nanograms per hour (ng h^{-1}). We obtained the normalized peak area of each individual compound by dividing their integrated peak areas by the integrated peak area of the internal standard (Abdala-Roberts et al. 2022), in order to standardize for variations in the sample volume during the elution process. Reported values for individual VOCs should thus be considered as naphthalene-equivalent nanograms of compound released by each plant per hour. The total emission of VOCs of each sample (i.e., emitter plant) was then obtained by summing the concentrations of individual VOCs. Due to an elution issue, we removed four VOC samples and therefore used 68 samples for statistical analyses (23 control, 23 *F. solani* and 22 *P. infestans* emitter plants).

Bioassay Testing Induced Resistance in Receiver Plants

The same day after collecting emitter VOCs, we conducted a bioassay on all receiver plants to test whether exposure to VOCs from damaged emitters boosted resistance against pathogen infection and its specificity in relation to the identity of initial vs. subsequent attacker (i.e., conspecific vs. heterospecific indirect pathogen effects via plant VOC signalling). For this, we subjected half of the receiver plants exposed to each group of emitter plants to F. solani infection and the other half to P. infestans infection. We followed the same procedure as above, using two fully developed leaves. In total, the experiment included 72 plants, corresponding to three initial infection treatments x two receiver infection treatments \times 12 replicates per combination. Five days after infection (Rubio-Covarrubias et al. 2005), we sampled infected leaves and photographed them with a Nikon COOLPIX P100 digital camera (10.3 effective megapixels, 26× zoom NIKKOR). Then, we estimated the percentage of damaged area (i.e., with signs of necrosis and chlorosis) due to pathogen infection using ImageJ software (version 1.52a; LOCI, University of Wisconsin, USA).

Statistical Analyses

Effects of pathogen infection on emitter VOCs. We ran general linear mixed models (GLMMs) testing for the effect of emitter pathogen infection treatment (fixed, three levels: control, *F. solani* infection and *P. infestans* infection) on total VOCs released by emitter plants, as well as on each individual compound. We included plant height to account for differences in plant size as a covariate and plant genotype as a random effect, as these sources could potentially affect VOC induced responses. For tests of individual compounds, we performed *P*-value adjustments using the false discovery rate for P < 0.05 to avoid inflating Type I error due to multiple testing (Benjamini and Hochberg 1995). In all cases, we used a normal error (identity as link) and log-transformed total VOC emission to achieve normality of residuals.

In addition, we ran a Permutational Multivariate Analyses of Variance (PERMANOVA) with 10,000 permutations to test the emitter treatment effect on VOC composition using individual compound abundances, i.e., qualitative variation in VOC emissions. To visualize these results, we performed a Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) based on Bray-Curtis pairwise dissimilarities and graphed the centroids of each pathogen infection treatment (Moreira et al. 2021). We also identified influential VOCs, i.e., those having the strongest association with the first two ordination axes ($R^2 > 0.60$), and displayed these relationships using biplot arrows with the length scaled to R^2 values.

Effects of pathogen infection on receiver resistance. We ran two independent GLMMs testing the effects of emitter infection treatment (fixed, three levels: control, *F. solani* infection and *P. infestans* infection) on the percentage of leaf area damaged by (1) *F. solani* and (2) *P. infestans* on receiver plants. We also included receiver plant height to account for size differences that could affect signalling effects on receiver induced resistance, as well as plant genotype and individual plant as random factors to control for plant genetic variation and the non-independence between leaves sampled for each plant (see sampling methods above), respectively. We log- and square root-transformed percentage of leaf damage caused by *F. solani* and *P. infestans*, respectively, to achieve normality of residuals.

We ran all statistical analyses in R software version 4.3.0 (R Core Team 2020). We implemented general linear mixed models using the *lmer* function from the *lmerTest* package (Kuznetsova et al. 2017). We reported model least-square means and standard errors (back-transformed for log- and square root-transformed data) as descriptive statistics using the *lsmeans* function from the *lsmeans* package (Lenth 2016). Finally, we implemented the PERMANOVA and ordination for VOCs composition using the *adonis* and *capscale* functions respectively, both in the *vegan* package (Oksanen et al. 2016).

Results

Pathogen Infection Effects on Emitter Plant VOCs

We identified a total of 41 VOCs in the headspace of emitter plants (Table S1). The pathogen infection treatment did not significantly affect either total emissions (Table 1; Fig. 2a) or VOC composition (PERMANOVA: Table 1; Fig. 2b). Similarly, analyses of individual compounds showed that there were no differences in emissions between emitter treatments for any of these compounds (Table S1).

Signalling Effects on Pathogen Resistance in Receiver Plants

Consistent with VOC results, analyses indicated that the emitter pathogen infection treatment did not have a

Table 1 Results from the general linear model testing the effect of induction treatment (three levels: emitters as control, *Fusarium solani* and *Phytophthora infestans* pathogen infection) on the total emission (log-transformed data) and composition of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) released by emitter potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) plants. For VOC composition, we used a permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) model (using log-transformed data). We included emitters height as a covariate. F values/Pseudo-F, degrees of freedom, and associated significance levels (*P*) are shown

	0	· · ·	
	DF _{num, den}	F/Pseudo-F	Р
Total emission of VOCs	2, 62	0.47	0.63
Composition of VOCs	2,64	0.49	0.91
Emitter height	1,63	12.09	< 0.001

significant effect on the percentage of leaf area damaged by either *F. solani* or *P. infestans* on receiver plants (Table 2; Fig. 3).

Discussion

Past work has shown that potato VOC induction due to feeding by insect herbivores can be highly specific as a function of enemy identity. For example, damage by the specialist Colorado potato beetle Leptinotarsa decemlineata did not induce VOCs and this presumably precluded signalling effects (Abdala-Roberts et al. 2022), whereas herbivory by the generalist beet army worm Spodoptera exigua drove significant changes in emissions which resulted in signalling effects (Martín-Cacheda et al. 2023a, b; Vázquez-González et al. 2022, 2023; see also work by Davidson-Lowe and Ali 2021). In the case of pathogens, potato induced defences and resistance in response to infection by multiple pathogens was studied recently by our group (Quiroga et al. 2023; for other plants see: Spoel et al. 2007; Vos et al. 2015), but no previous work has tested for effects on VOC emissions (see Ponzio et al. 2013) and resulting plant-plant signalling. We show that neither F. solani or P. infestans infection produced quantitative (total emissions) or qualitative (compositional) changes in potato VOC emissions, which precluded signalling effects on plant resistance. These findings are inconsistent with past studies with other plant species reporting effects of pathogen infection on VOC emissions in turn leading to heightened resistance in neighbouring plants (Quintana-Rodriguez et al. 2015; Riedlmeier et al. 2017; Shulaev et al. 1997; Zhang et al. 2019), We next discuss these findings in light of several considerations.

First, methodological aspects related to the timing of VOC collection and the duration of the receiver exposure period must be considered. We collected VOCs seven days after pathogen inoculation, following from previous work reporting that leaf damage caused by *P. infestans* on different potato cultivars (e.g., Malinche, Tollocan, Atlantic) exhibits a sharp increase after the fifth day of inoculation

(Rubio-Covarrubias et al. 2005). That said, Laothawornkitkul et al. (2010) instead found that leaf infection by P. infestans in potato Agria cultivar significantly increased potato emission of several volatiles (e.g., (E)-2-hexenal, 5-ethyl-2(5 H)-furanone and benzene-ethanol) three to four days after inoculation, and differences were not detected two days after inoculation. Given this variability in VOC induction speed (and/or strength), we cannot reject the possibility that VOC induction took place (and peaked) prior to volatile sampling, and as a result we were not able to detect it. In any case, these results call for further tests involving multiple VOC sampling points combined with molecular or physiological responses (including defensive gene expression levels associated with priming; as in Moreira et al. 2021) to achieve a more robust understanding of pathogens effects (or lack of thereof) on potato VOCs.

Second, previous work has shown that necrotrophic pathogens often produce toxins that interfere with signal transduction pathways or inhibit the synthesis of defencerelated compounds such as VOCs (reviewed by Shao et al. 2021), possibly explaning the lack of VOC induction. For instance, the necrotrophic fungus Botrytis cinerea was found to alter redox processes that increase plant susceptibility to this pathogen (reviewed by Lyon et al. 2007) or elicit the SA (not JA as most necrotrophs) signalling pathway which antagonized with the JA signalling pathway and allowed the fungus to infect tomato plants (El-Oirdi et al. 2011; Sarmento et al. 2011; for examples with insects such as whitefly and spider mites see: Zhang et al. 2009). Likewise, previous work has shown that P. infestans and F. solani are able to suppress host defences and promote pathogen susceptibility on several crops (Leesutthiphonchai et al. 2018; Tahmasebi et al. 2023). A recent study on potato by our group supports this: receiver plants exposed to VOCs released by S. sclerotiorum-infected (vs. control) emitters did not increase their resistance to this pathogen, presumably due to inhibition of plant defence induction (Moreira et al. 2021). This finding is line with results for conspecific sequential infection by this pathogen. It is important to note that P. infestans shifts from a biotrophic to necrotrophic lifestyle approximately one week after infection (Grenville-Briggs and Van West 2005), leading to changes in plant signal-transduction pathways and, as consequence, possibly also volatile induction (Ponzio et al. 2013). Because we measured emitter VOCs and conducted the receiver bioassay one week after inoculating emitters with *P. infestans*, it is possible that the pathogen had already shifted to a necrotrophic lifestyle at this time. This would have led to cross-resistance against F. solani assuming a necrotrophic-associated plant response predominated at the time of sampling (rather than induced susceptibility, as originally predicted), but such response was not observed either. Further work is warranted testing

Fig. 2 (a) Effects of induction treatment (control vs. leaf pathogen infection by Fusarium solani and Phytophthora infestans) On the total emission of volatile organic compounds (in nanograms per hour, back-transformed from log data) released by emitter potato (Solanum tuberosum) plants. Values are back-transformed least-square means \pm SE obtained from a general linear mixed model (N=68). (b) Unconstrained ordinations showing the effects of emitter induction treatment on log-transformed composition of volatile organic compounds produced by emitter plants. Biplot arrows show associated linear trends with volatiles, scaled to reflect relative magnitude of effects based on R^2 values ($R^2 > 0.60, P < 0.05$). The emitter induction treatment ordination displays control and pathogen-induced centroids (represented as diamonds) and 95% ellipses. The first two axes of this ordination accounted for 52.52% of the treatment effect in volatile composition (14.05% and 38.47% respectively). Results are shown in Table 1



MSD1 (38.92 % of total variation)

Table 2 Results from a general linear model testing the effect of induction treatment on emitter potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) plants (three levels: emitters as control, *Fusarium solani*, and *Phytophthora infestans* pathogen infection) on the percentage of leaf damaged area caused by *F. solani* and *P. infestans* infection for receiver potato plants. We included receiver height as a covariate. F values, degrees of freedom, and associated *P*-values obtained from the corresponding models are shown

	Fusarium solani	Fusarium solani			Phytophthora infestans		
	DF _{num, den}	F	P	DF _{num, den}	F	Р	
Treatment	2, 30	2.05	0.15	2, 32	0.03	0.97	
Receiver height	1, 30	1.52	0.23	1, 32	0.13	0.72	

Fig. 3 Effect of emitter induction treatment in the emitter *Solanum tuberosum* plants (three levels: emitters as control, *Fusarium solani* and *Phytophthora infestans* pathogen infection) on the percentage of leaf damaged area caused by (**a**) *F. solani* and (**b**) *P. infestans* infection on receiver potato plants. Bars are backtransformed least square means \pm SE obtained from the corresponding linear model (N=12). Results are shown in Table 2



Emitter induction treatment

for VOCs induction and signalling effects at different time points post-induction by this pathogen.

Third, it is important to point out that while leaf infections have been used to induce plant defences, in the case of F. *solani* (e.g., Villarino et al. 2019; Chen et al. 2021) in which aerial infections to leaves can take place, this pathogen is typically a soil-borne microbe that affects potato tubers (Azil et al. 2021). The methodological approach chosen could therefore limit the generality of our results regarding this interaction and its consequences for potato signalling, calling for future work involving leaf and tuber infections and measuring defensive responses in both organs. Accordingly, a previous synthesis indicates within-plant variability in sequential infection outcomes (Moreira et al. 2018), which supports this recommendation.

As a closing note, it is important to consider that we are not able to determine whether pathogen infection for the resistance bioassay influenced receiver defence induction (including the possibility of defence manipulation by the pathogens). Although the lack of pathogen induction of plant VOCs would preclude any such receiver-associated pathogen effects, we call for further work involving measurements of receiver defence both before and after their infection to tease apart emitter VOC-related from receiverrelated pathogen effects shaping signalling outcomes. The measurement of plant defences and associated gene expression levels associated to different plant signalling pathways would be particularly useful under scenarios of multiple pathogen attacks in order to yield mechanistic insight into plant defensive traits primed or induced by VOCs and potential response specificity.

Outlook

More studies on plant signalling in response to multiple attackers are needed, involving pathogens, herbivores, or both, to test and describe a potentially broader range of interactions outcomes and mechanisms underlying plant responses from defence suppression to synergisms. Doing so will also allow to test whether patterns are consistent or differ from interaction patterns involving non-volatilemediated plant defences. Importantly, the identification of plant VOC receptors (Loreto and D'Auria 2022; Wang and Erb 2022; Widhalm et al. 2023) will represent a key step forward to understand the biochemical underpinnings of specificity in plant VOC signalling effects in response to attack by single enemies to then build from this to understand more complex outcomes involving multiple attackers.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-024-01521-x.

Acknowledgements We are grateful to Nieves Capote for providing *Fusarium solani* cultures and to Carmen Vega and María Díaz for helping in the greenhouse tasks.

Author Contributions Formulated the idea of the manuscript: XM. Designed the experiment: XM, LAR, LMC. Performed the experiment: LMC. Performed the chemical analyses: GR, LMC. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: XM, GR. Analysed the data: LMC. Wrote the first draft of the manuscript: LMC. Contributed critically to the writing: XM, LAR.

Funding This research was financially supported by a grant from the Spanish Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities (RTI 2018-099322-B-I00), a grant from the Spanish National Research Council (2021AEP082), and a grant from the Regional Government of Galicia (IN607A 2021/03) to XM. LMC was supported by a predoctoral fellowship from the Spanish Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities (PRE2019-091096).

Open Access funding provided thanks to the CRUE-CSIC agreement with Springer Nature.

Data Availability Not applicable.

Declarations

The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose. There are not any ethical issues.

Competing Interests The authors declare no competing interests.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Abdala-Roberts L, Vázquez-González C, Rasmann S, Moreira X (2022) Test of communication between potato plants in response to herbivory by the Colorado potato beetle. Agric for Entomol 24:212–218
- Alor N, Tierno R, Cooke DEL, Ruiz de Galarreta JI (2019) Characterisation of *Phytophthora infestans* isolates of potato crops from Spain. Potato Res 62:453–463
- Alyokhin A, Vincent C, Giordanengo P (2013) Insect pests of potato: global perspectives on biology and management. Elsevier, San Diego, CA
- Azil N, Stefańczyk E, Sobkowiak S, Chihat S, Boureghda H, Śliwka J (2021) Identification and pathogenicity of *Fusarium* spp. associated with tuber dry rot and wilt of potato in Algeria. Eur J Plant Pathol 159:495–509
- Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y (1995) Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. J Roy Stat Soc 57:289–300

- Biere A, Goverse A (2016) Plant-mediated systemic interactions between pathogens, parasitic nematodes, and herbivores aboveand belowground. Annu Rev Phytopathol 54:499–527
- Biru FN, Cazzonelli CI, Elbaum R, Johnson SN (2022) Contrasting impacts of herbivore induction and elevated atmospheric CO₂ on silicon defences and consequences for subsequent herbivores. Entomol Exp Appl 170:681–688
- Brouwer SM, Wolters PJ, Andreasson E, Liljeroth E, Vleeshouwers VGAA, Grenville-Briggs LJ (2023) Double trouble: co-infection of potato with the causal agents of late and early blight. Plant Pathol 72:1365–1379
- Chen SY et al (2021) Develop an efficient inoculation technique for *Fusarium solani* isolate TJP-2178-10 pathogeny assessment in *Phalaenopsis* orchids. Bot Stud 62:4
- R Core Team (2020) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL http://www.R-project.org/
- Davidson-Lowe E, Ali JG (2021) Herbivore-induced plant volatiles mediate behavioral interactions between a leaf-chewing and a phloem-feeding herbivore. Basic Appl Ecol 53:39–48
- El-Oirdi M et al (2011) *Botrytis Cinerea* manipulates the antagonistic effects between immune pathways to promote disease development in tomato. Plant Cell 23:2405–2421
- Erb M (2018) Volatiles as inducers and suppressors of plant defense and immunity — origins, specificity, perception and signaling. Curr Opin Plant Biol 44:117–121

FAOSTAT (2020) http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#home

- Freundlich GE, Shields M, Frost CJ (2021) Dispensing a synthetic green leaf volatile to two plant species in a common garden differentially alters physiological responses and herbivory. Agronomy 11:958
- Grenville-Briggs LJ, Van West P (2005) The biotrophic stages of oomycete-plant interactions. Adv Appl Microbiol 57:217-243
- Hammerbacher A, Coutinho TA, Gershenzon J (2019) Roles of plant volatiles in defence against microbial pathogens and microbial exploitation of volatiles. Plant Cell Environ 42:2827–2843
- Heil M, Karban R (2010) Explaining the evolution of plant communication by airborne signals. Trends Ecol Evol 25:137–144
- Karban R, Yang LH, Edwards KF (2014) Volatile communication between plants that affects herbivory: a meta-analysis. Ecol Lett 17:44–52
- Kessler A, Mueller MB, Kalske A, Chautá A (2023) Volatile-mediated plant–plant communication and higher-level ecological dynamics. Curr Biol 33:519–529
- Kuznetsova A, Brockhoff PB, Christensen RHB (2017) ImerTest package: tests in linear mixed effects models. J Stat Softw 82. https:// doi.org/10.18637/jss.v18082.i18613
- Landschoot S, Vandecasteele M, De Baets B, Höfte M, Audenaert K, Haesaert G (2017) Identification of A. Arborescens, A. Grandis, and A. protenta as new members of the European Alternaria population on potato. Fungal Biology 121:172–188
- Laothawornkitkul J, Jansen RMC, Smid HM, Bouwmeester HJ, Muller J, van Bruggen AHC (2010) Volatile organic compounds as a diagnostic marker of late blight infected potato plants: a pilot study. Crop Prot 29:872–878
- Leesutthiphonchai W, Vu AL, Ah-Fong AM, Judelson HS (2018) How does *Phytophthora infestans* evade control efforts? Modern insight into the late blight disease. Phytopathology 108:916–924
- Lenth RV (2016) Least-squares means: the R package Ismeans. J Stat Softw 69:1–33
- Loreto F, D'Auria S (2022) How do plants sense volatiles sent by other plants? Trends Plant Sci 27:29–38
- Lyon GD, Goodman BA, Williamson B (2007) *Botrytis Cinerea* perturbs redox processes as an attack strategy in plants. In: Elad Y, Williamson B, Tudzynski P, Delen N (eds) *Botrytis*: biology,

pathology and control. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, pp 119–141

- Martín-Cacheda L, Vázquez-González C, Rasmann S, Röder G, Abdala-Roberts L, Moreira X (2023a) Plant genetic relatedness and volatile-mediated signalling between *Solanum tuberosum* plants in response to herbivory by *Spodoptera exigua*. Phytochemistry 206:113561
- Martín-Cacheda L, Vázquez-González C, Rasmann S, Röder G, Abdala-Roberts L, Moreira X (2023b) Volatile-mediated signalling between potato plants in response to insect herbivory is not contingent on soil nutrients. J Chem Ecol 49:507–517
- Mehmood S et al (2023) *Bacillus* sp. PM31 harboring various plant growth-promoting activities regulates *Fusarium* dry rot and wilt tolerance in potato. Arch Agron Soil Sci 69:197–211
- Mithöfer A, Boland W (2008) Recognition of herbivory-associated molecular patterns. Plant Physiol 146:825–831
- Moctezuma-Zárate MG, Vargas-Morales JM, Cárdenas-González JF, Martínez-Juárez VM, Acosta-Rodríguez I (2013) Induction of extracellular lytic enzymes by *Fusarium solani*. Adv Microbiol 3:24–30
- Moreira X, Abdala-Roberts L (2019) Specificity and context-dependency of plant–plant communication in response to insect herbivory. Curr Opin Insect Sci 32:15–21
- Moreira X, Abdala-Roberts L, Hernández-Cumplido J, Cuny MAC, Glauser G, Benrey B (2015) Specificity of induced defenses, growth, and reproduction in lima bean (*Phaseolus lunatus*, Fabaceae) in response to multispecies herbivory. Am J Bot 102:1300–1308
- Moreira X, Abdala-Roberts L, Castagneyrol B (2018) Interactions between plant defence signaling pathways: evidence from bioassays with insect herbivores and plant pathogens. J Ecol 106:2353–2364
- Moreira X et al (2021) Apparent inhibition of induced plant volatiles by a fungal pathogen prevents airborne communication between potato plants. Plant Cell Environ 44:1192–1201
- Naz R, Khushhal S, Asif T, Mubeen S, Saranraj P, Sayyed RZ (2022) Inhibition of bacterial and fungal phytopathogens through volatile organic compounds produced by *Pseudomonas* Sp. In: Sayyed RZ, Gavicho Uarrota V (eds) Secondary metabolites and volatiles of PGPR in plant-growth promotion. Springer Nature Switzerland, Cham, Switzerland, pp 95–118
- Oksanen J et al (2016) vegan: Community Ecology Package. R package version 2.4-1
- Olivieri FP, Lobato MC, González Altamiranda E, Daleo GR, Huarte M, Guevara MG, Andreu AB (2009) BABA effects on the behaviour of potato cultivars infected by *Phytophthora infestans* and *Fusarium solani*. Eur J Plant Pathol 123:47–56
- Pieterse CMJ, Leon-Reyes A, Van Der Ent S, Van Wees SCM (2009) Networking by small-molecule hormones in plant immunity. Nat Chem Biol 5:308–316
- Ponzio C, Gols R, Pieterse CMJ, Dicke M (2013) Ecological and phytohormonal aspects of plant volatile emission in response to single and dual infestations with herbivores and phytopathogens. Funct Ecol 27:587–598
- Quintana-Rodriguez E, Morales-Vargas AT, Molina-Torres J, Adame-Alvarez RM, Acosta-Gallegos JA, Heil M (2015) Plant volatiles cause direct, induced and associational resistance in common bean to the fungal pathogen *Colletotrichum Lindemuthianum*. J Ecol 103:250–260
- Quiroga G, Aguiño-Domínguez N, Piperakis N, Martín-Cacheda L, Abdala-Roberts L, Moreira X (2023) Variation in the outcome of plant-mediated pathogen interactions in potato: effects of initial infections on conspecific vs. heterospecific subsequent infections. J Chem Ecol 49:465–473
- Rasmann S, Erwin AC, Halitschke R, Agrawal AA (2011) Direct and indirect root defences of milkweed (*Asclepias syriaca*): trophic

cascades, trade-offs and novel methods for studying subterranean herbivory. J Ecol 99:16–25 $\,$

- Riedlmeier M et al (2017) Monoterpenes support systemic acquired resistance within and between plants. Plant Cell Environ 29:1440–1459
- Rubio-Covarrubias OA, Douches DS, Hammerschmidt R, Darocha A, Kirk WW (2005) Effect of temperature and photoperiod on symptoms associated with resistance to *Phytophthora infestans* after leaf penetration in susceptible and resistant potato cultivars. Am J Potato Res 82:139–146
- Sarmento RA et al (2011) A herbivore that manipulates plant defence. Ecol Lett 14:229–236
- Shao D, Smith DL, Kabbage M, Roth MG (2021) Effectors of plant necrotrophic fungi. Front Plant Sci 12:687713
- Sharifi R, Lee SM, Ryu CM (2018) Microbe-induced plant volatiles. New Phytol 220:684–691
- Shulaev V, Silverman P, Raskin I (1997) Airborne signalling by methyl salicylate in plant pathogen resistance. Nature 385:718–721
- Singh V, Shrivastava A, Jadon S, Wahi N, Singh A, Sharma N (2015) Alternaria diseases of vegetable crops and its management control to reduce the low production International. J Agricultural Sci 7:834–840
- Spoel SH, Johnson JS, Dong X (2007) Regulation of tradeoffs between plant defenses against pathogens with different lifestyles. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104:18842–18847
- Strange RN, Scott PR (2005) Plant disease: a threat to global food security. Annu Rev Phytopathol 43:83–116
- Tahmasebi A, Roach T, Shin SY, Lee CW (2023) *Fusarium solani* infection disrupts metabolism during the germination of roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa* L.) seeds. Front Plant Sci 14:1225426
- Thaler JS, Humphrey PT, Whiteman NK (2012) Evolution of jasmonate and salicylate signal crosstalk. Trends Plant Sci 17:260–270
- Vázquez-González C et al (2022) Effect of water availability on volatile-mediated communication between potato plants in response to insect herbivory. Funct Ecol 36:2763–2773
- Vázquez-González C, Quiroga V, Martín-Cacheda L, Rasmann S, Röder G, Abdala-Roberts L, Moreira X (2023) Effect of herbivore load on VOC-mediated plant communication in potato. Planta 257:42

- Villarino M, De la Lastra E, Basallote-Ureba MJ, Capote N, Larena I, Melgarejo P, De Cal A (2019) Characterization of *Fusarium* solani populations associated with Spanish strawberry crops. Plant Dis 103:1974–1982
- Vlot AC et al (2021) Systemic propagation of immunity in plants. New Phytol 229:1234–1250
- Vos IA, Moritz L, Pieterse CMJ, Van Wees SCM (2015) Impact of hormonal crosstalk on plant resistance and fitness under multiattacker conditions. Front Plant Sci 6:639
- Wang L, Erb M (2022) Volatile uptake, transport, perception, and signaling shape a plant's nose. Essays Biochem 66:695–702
- Wang M, Biere A, Van der Putten WH, Bezemer TM (2014) Sequential effects of root and foliar herbivory on aboveground and belowground induced plant defense responses and insect performance. Oecologia 175:187–198
- Wen-Zhong W et al (2021) Elucidation of potato tuber response to Fusarium Solani var coeruleum infection transcriptome analysis. J Anim Plant Sci 31:668–679
- Widhalm JR, Shih ML, Morgan JA, Dudareva N (2023) Two-way communication: volatile emission and uptake occur through the same barriers. Mol Plant 16:1–3
- Yi HS, Heil M, Adame-Álvarez RM, Ballhorn D, Ryu M (2009) Airborne induction and priming of plant resistance to a bacterial pathogen. Plant Physiol 151:2152–2161
- Zhang P-J, Zheng S-J, van Loon JJA, Boland W, David A, Mumm R, Dicke M (2009) Whiteflies interfere with indirect plant defense against spider mites in Lima bean. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 106:21202–21207
- Zhang P-J et al (2019) Airborne host-plant manipulation by whiteflies via an inducible blend of plant volatiles. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 116:7387–7396
- Zuluaga AP et al (2016) Transcriptional dynamics of *Phytophthora infestans* during sequential stages of hemibiotrophic infection of tomato. Mol Plant Pathol 17:29–41

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.